# Band structure calculation using KKR-Green's function method 

by Kazunori Sato (June 1998)<br>modified by Masako Ogura and Hisazumi Akai (August 2008)

## 1 Introduction

In this document, how to use Machikaneyama2002 (AkaiKKR), a KKR-CPA-LDA package, is briefly guided. The KKR method is one of the methods of electronic structure calculation and is also called"Green's function method." KKR indicates the initials of Korringa, Kohn, and Rostoker, who invented this method. CPA means the coherent potential approximation, which can deal with random systems. Green's function might be difficult to understand if you are trained with ordinary band structure calculations, which solve an eigenvalue problem by diagonalization. However, you can apply KKR-CPA to much wider range of situation than ordinary band structure calculations can do. For example, it can deal with finite temperature magnetism and partial disorder systems since CPA can treat not only periodic systems but also random systems.

## 2 Get started

The KKR band structure code has been developed by H. Akai since 1979. The KKR package is a set of subroutines written in FORTRAN77. It is not so difficult for you to perform some small calculations using this package even if you are not familiar with the computational physics and numerical techniques used in the method.

### 2.1 Get the program

Usually, the downloaded KKR program package is archived and compressed. Thus compressed file is named as 'cpa2002v00x.tar.gz'. First you put 'cpa2002v00x.tar.gz' under your home directly. Next, you must decompress it and get the original form. The following commands should be executed.

```
~> tar xvfz cpa2002v00x.tar.gz
```

Now, you find the following files in the directory, /cpa2002v00x/.
~ $>$ cd cpa2002v00x
~/cpa2002v00x>1s
data gpdos.f makefile source
gpd in readme util

Here, the file 'makefile' is used to compile the sources. New directories, 'source', 'in', 'out' and 'data', are created. Under the directory 'source' you find all of the source codes, and 'in', 'out' and 'data' may be used to save input, output and data files, respectively. You can delete 'cpa2002v00x.tar.gz' which is not needed anymore.

### 2.2 Compile the program

Since the package contains many subroutines, it is convenient to use the 'make' command. The 'make' command refers to the 'makefile' and compiles the files whose names are written in the 'makefile'. Moreover, the 'make' command finds out the files that have been modified since the last time, and compiles those files.

## -/cpa2002v00x> make

Just key in, and you will get an executable file 'specx'.

### 2.3 Execute the program

To start a calculation, an input file in which lattice parameters and some numerical parameters are written is needed. How to write the input file is explained in the next section. If you want to execute a KKR calculation with your input file 'input' and save a result in a file 'output', key in the following.
~/cpa2002v006x> specx < in/input > out/output \&
The last character ' $\&$ ' means that this job should be carried out as a background job.

## 3 Execution of the calculation

### 3.1 Input File

The lattice parameters, such as the Bravais type, the lattice constants and atomic numbers, and so on, are written in an input file and it is read in by the program when the kkr is executed. As an example, the input file used in the calculation of fcc NiFe alloy is the following.


The lines which begin with the letter 'c' is neglected. Names of the fields are also commented in the sample input file. The delimiter is a space ${ }^{\prime}$, or a comma ','. In table 1 , what is written in each field is summarized.

In the following, some comments and notes are given.

- [go] In 'dsp' and 'dos' modes, values of record, outtyp and maxitr are automatically set to be ' $\mathbf{2 n d}$ ', 'quit' and ' $\mathbf{1}$ ', respectively.

Table 1: Parameters written in the input file.

| Field | Value | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| go | go | perform a band structure calculation. |
|  | dos | calculate a density of states. |
|  | dsp | display a previous result. |
|  | spc | calculate Bloch spectral function (dispersion relation). |
| file brvtyp | file name | file name in which a potential data is written. which type of the Bravais lattices. |
|  | fcc | face centered cubic |
|  | bcc | body centered cubic |
|  | hcp(hex) | hexagonal close packed |
|  | sc | simple cubic |
|  | bct | body centered tetragonal |
|  | st | simple tetragonal |
|  | fco | face centered orthorhombic |
|  | bco | body centered orthorhombic |
|  | bso | base centered orthorhombic |
|  | so | simple orthorhombic |
|  | bsm | base centered monoclinic |
|  | sm | simple monoclinic |
|  | trc | triclinic |
|  | rhb (trg) | rhombohedral (trigonal) |
|  | fct | face centered tetragonal |
| a |  | lattice constant in atomic unit. |
| c/a |  | c/a ratios of lattice constants. |
| b/a |  | $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}$ ratios of lattice constants. |
| alpha |  | $\alpha$ in degrees. |
| beta |  | $\beta$ in degrees. |
| gamma |  | $\gamma$ in degrees. |
| edelt | $\sim 0.001$ | Imaginary part at the Fermi level in Ry (see Fig. 1). |
| ewidth | - | Width of the energy contour in Ry (see Fig. 1). |
| reltyp | nrl | no relativistic treatment. |
|  | sra | scaler relativistic approximation. |
| sdftyp <br> magtyp | mjw, vbh, vwn | which exchange correlation potential is used. |
|  | mag | magnetic. |
|  | nmag | non-magnetic. |
|  | -mag(rvrs) | change the sign of the magnetization. |
|  | kick | transfer to the magnetic state artificially. |
| record | init | initially start a calculation. |
|  | 2nd | continues the last calculation. |
|  | 1st | continues the second last calculation. |
| outtyp | update <br> quit | potential data is updated. potential data is not updated. |
| bzqlty | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,2, \ldots \\ & \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{u} \end{aligned}$ | The bigger, the finer mesh in the Brillouin zone. see nfqlty.f |
| maxitr |  | the maximum number of the iteration loop. |
| pmix | 0.01-0.03 | a parameter used in mixing $V^{\text {in }}$ and $V^{\text {out }}$. |
| ntyp |  | how many types of atom exist in a unit cell. |
| type | type name | names of the respective types of site. |
| ncmp |  | how many components exist in the site. |
| rmt |  | muffin tin radius in $a$. |
| field |  | external magnetic field at each site in Ry. |
| l_max <br> anclr |  | the maximum angular momentum taken into account. atomic number. |
| conc |  | concentration of the components at the site. |
| natm |  | number of atoms in a unit cell. |
| atmicx | coordinates | where each atom is (in $a$ ). |
| atmtyp | type name | which type of the site at the lattice point. |



Figure 1: The energy contour.

- [file] You can specify a file name of a potential data using a relative pass.
- [brvtyp] If c/a, b/a, $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are evident from 'brvtyp', you can omit to write them.
- [record] The previous potential data is stored together with the latest potential data in the data, in case that the latest calculation terns out rubbish. '2nd' means that the calculation starts with the latest data file, and '1st' means that the calculation starts with the data of the last but one.
- [rmt] If the muffin-tin spheres whose radii are given by 'rmt' conflict each other their radii are reduced. If 'rmt' $=0$, muffin tin radii are set so that their ratio is equal to the ratio of the atomic radii.
- [anclr] 'anclr' $=0$ means that a vacancy is put on the lattice point.
- [conc] Concentrations are normalized in each site. It is not necessary that the sum of them equal to 1 .
- [atmicx] The lattice point at which an atom is put is specified with the Cartesian coordinate (e.g., written as $0.5,0.5,0.5$ ) or with the primitive vector (e.g., written as $0.5 \mathrm{a}, 0.5 \mathrm{~b}$, 0.5 c ). They can have a fractional form such as $1 / 3,2 / 3 \mathrm{a}$, and $1 \mathrm{~d} 0 / 3 \mathrm{~d} 0 \mathrm{x}$.
- If the input data for several different systems are contained in a single file, they are performed sequentially.


### 3.2 Output File

A result of a calculation is shown on a display or is redirected to an output file. As an example, the output of the calculation for NiFe alloy is shown, for which the input file has already been shown.

```
2-Feb-2005
    meshr mse 
data read in
go=go file=data/feni
brvtyp=bcc a= 5.30560 c/a=1.00000 b/a=1.00000
alpha= 0.0 beta= 0.0 gamma= 0.0
edelt= 0.0010 ewidth= 1.200 reltyp=nrl sdftyp=mjw magtyp=mag
record=init outtyp=update bzqlty=4 maxitr= 80 pmix=0.02400
ntyp= 1 natm= 1 ncmpx= 2
complex energy mesh
1( -1.2000, 0.0000) 2( -1.1998, 0.0030) 3( -1.1990, 0.0070)
4(-1.1970, 0.0121) 5( -1.1928, 0.0186) 6( -1.1849, 0.0267)
7(-1.1710, 0.0368) 8( -1.1478, 0.0489) 9( -1.1111, 0.0629)
10( -1.0562, 0.0779) 11( -0.9792, 0.0930) 12( -0.8787, 0.1063)
```

| $13(-0.7577,0.1158)$ | $14(-0.6240,0.1199)$ | $15(-0.4891,0.1179)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16(-0.3646,0.1104)$ | $17(-0.2591,0.0988)$ | $18(-0.1764,0.0850)$ |
| $19(-0.1156,0.0708)$ | $20(-0.0735,0.0575)$ | $21(-0.0455,0.0458)$ |
| $22(-0.0276,0.0360)$ | $23(-0.0165,0.0280)$ | $24(-0.0098,0.0216)$ |
| $25(-0.0057,0.0165)$ | $26(-0.0033,0.0126)$ | $27(-0.0019,0.0096)$ |
| $28(-0.0011,0.0073)$ | $29(-0.0006,0.0055)$ | $30(-0.0004,0.0042)$ |
| $31(-0.0002,0.0032)$ | $32(-0.0001,0.0024)$ | $33(-0.0001,0.0018)$ |
| $34(0.0000,0.0014)$ | $35(0.0000,0.0010)$ |  |

file to be accessed=data/feni
created
lattice constant
bravais=bcc $a=5.30560 \quad c / a=1.0000 \quad b / a=1.0000$
alpha= 90.00 beta $=90.00$ gamma $=90.00$
primitive translation vectors
$a=\left(\begin{array}{lll}-0.50000 & 0.50000 & 0.50000\end{array}\right)$
$\mathrm{b}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0.50000 & -0.50000 & 0.50000\end{array}\right)$
$c=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0.50000 & 0.50000 & -0.50000\end{array}\right)$
type of site
type=FeNi rmt=0.43301 field= $0.000 \quad$ lmxtyp $=2$
component= 1 anclr= 26. conc= 0.6000 component $=2$ anclr $=28$. conc $=0.4000$
atoms in the unit cell
position $=0.000000000 .000000000 .00000000$ type=FeNi
***msg in spmain...new ew, ez generated
ew $=0.09998$ ez= 0.80300
preta= 0.35542 eta= 0.35542
isymop= $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
last= $243 \mathrm{np}=15 \mathrm{nt}=141$ nrpt= $169 \quad \mathrm{nk}=29 \quad \mathrm{nd}=1$
itr= $1 \quad$ rms error $=-1.872$
itr= $2 \quad$ rms error $=-2.390$
itr= $3 \quad$ rms error $=-2.879$
itr $=4 \quad$ rms error $=-3.357$
itr= $5 \quad$ rms error $=-3.890$
itr $=6 \quad$ rms error $=-3.879$
itr= $7 \quad$ rms error $=-4.878$
itr= $8 \quad$ rms error $=-4.850$
itr= $9 \quad$ rms error $=-5.574$
itr= $10 \quad$ rms error $=-6.235$
interval $=10 \quad$ cpu time $=\quad 0.00 \mathrm{sec}$

|  | nl | cnf | energy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1s | 2.000 | -508.5203 |
|  | 2 s | 2.000 | -59.2074 |
|  | 2p | 6.000 | -51.1806 |
|  | 3 s | 2.000 | -6.8027 |
|  | 3 p | 6.000 | -4.4563 |
|  | 3d | 6.000 | -0.6696 |
|  | 4 s | 2.000 | -0.4930 |
| itr= | 1 | rms e | -1.724 |
| itr= | 2 | rms e | -2.239 |
| itr= | 3 | rms e | -2.657 |
| itr= | 4 | rms e | -2.967 |
| itr= | 5 | rms e | -3.645 |
| itr= | 6 | rms e | -3.695 |
| itr= | 7 | rms e | -4.268 |
| itr= | 8 | rms e | -5.061 |
| itr= | 9 | rms e | -5.356 |
| itr= | 10 | rms e | -5.470 |
| itr= | 11 | rms e | -6.321 |
| interval= $11 \quad \mathrm{cp}$ |  |  |  |

nl cnf energy

| 1s | 2.000 | -595.8142 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2s | 2.000 | -70.7050 |
| 2p | 6.000 | -61.8163 |
| 3s | 2.000 | -7.9863 |
| 3p | 6.000 | -5.2730 |
| 3d | 8.000 | -0.7806 |
| 4s | 2.000 | -0.5252 |

record 1 will be overlaied by input and record 2 will be replaced by new output.
core configuration for $\mathrm{Z}=26$
state 1 s 2 s 2 p 3 s 3 p 3 d 4 s 4 p 4 d 5 s 5 p 4 f 5 d 6 s 6 p 5 f 6 d 7 s

| $u p$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

down | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

core configuration for $Z=28$
state 1 s 2 s 2 p 3 s 3 p 3 d 4 s 4 p 4 d 5 s 5 p 4 f 5 d 6 s 6 p 5 f 6 d 7 s

| $u p$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

down | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

***** self-consistent iteration starts *****

## FeNi

| itr= 1 neu= | 01 | mo | 0.0905 | te= | -2718.3225808 | err= | 0.119 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 neu= | -0.8991 | moment= | 0.1151 | e= | -2718.3221114 |  | -0.277 |
| 3 neu= | -0.2974 | ne | 0.1168 | te= | -2718.3157206 |  | 49 |
| 4 neu= | 0.1787 | mome | 0.1296 | te= | -2718.3197036 |  | 627 |
| 5 neu= | 0.5050 | mome | 0.1933 | te= | -2718.3214574 |  | -0.407 |
| 6 neu= | 0.6526 | mo | 0.2873 |  | -2718.3261849 |  | . 483 |
| tr= 7 neu= | 0.5415 | mome | 0.4203 |  | -2718.3258145 |  | 0.530 |
| 8 neu= | 0.3129 | mom | 0.5384 | te= | -2718.3258235 |  | 056 |
| 9 neu= | 0.1143 | mom | 0.7051 | te= | -2718.3265769 |  | -0.801 |
| 10 neu= | -0.0203 | mome | 0.8951 | te= | -2718.3295190 |  | -0.873 |
| itr= 11 neu= | -0.1221 | mome | 1.0540 | te= | -2718.3323905 |  | -0.967 |
| tr= $12 \mathrm{neu}=$ | -0.1746 | moment | 1.2526 | te= | -2718.3352375 |  | -0.844 |
| itr= 13 neu= | -0.1626 | mom | 1.4605 | te= | -2718.3369449 |  | -0.608 |
| tr= 14 neu= | -0.1454 | mome | 1.6426 | te= | -2718.3395758 | err= | -0.754 |
| 15 neu= | -0.1518 | momen | 1.6880 |  | -2718.3406928 |  | -0.650 |
| 16 n | -0.1663 | momen | 1.7401 | te= | -2718.3410295 |  | -0.607 |
| $r=17$ neu= | -0.1522 | mome | 1.7988 | te= | -2718.3415185 |  | -1.255 |
| tr= 18 neu= | -0.1303 | mome | 1.7861 | te= | -2718.3414079 | err= | -1.326 |
| itr= 19 neu= | -0.0791 | moment= | 1.7878 | te= | -2718.3410749 | err= | -1.206 |
| itr= 20 neu= | -0.0246 | mome | 1.7774 |  | -2718.3410051 |  | -1.553 |
| tr= 21 neu= | 0.0213 | mome | 1.7734 | te= | -2718.3409834 | err= | -1.224 |
| itr= 22 neu= | 0.0494 | mome | 1.7695 | te= | -2718.3410544 | err= | 1.282 |
| itr= 23 neu= | 0.0583 | mome | 1.7667 |  | -2718.3410975 |  | 1.829 |
| itr= 24 neu= | 0.0518 | moment= | 1.7646 | te= | -2718.3410481 | err= | -1.769 |
| itr= 25 neu= | 0.0361 | mom | 1.7609 | te | -2718.3409914 | err= | 1.879 |
| itr= 26 neu= | 0.0172 | mome | 1.7587 | te= | -2718.3409593 | err= | 2.014 |
| itr= 27 neu= | 0.0005 | moment= | 1.7597 |  | -2718.3409526 |  | -1.849 |
| tr= 28 neu= | -0.0111 | mom | 1.7612 | te | -2718.3409625 | err= | -2.108 |
| itr= 29 neu= | -0.0169 | mome | 1.7625 | te | -2718.3409716 | err= | -2.097 |
| itr= 30 neu= | -0.0176 | mome | 1.7634 | te= | -2718.3409749 | err= | -2.114 |
| itr= 31 neu= | -0.0147 | mome | 1.7639 | t | -2718.3409743 | rr | -2.287 |
| tr= $32 \mathrm{neu}=$ | -0.0102 | mome | 1.7640 | te | -2718.3409723 | rr | -2.403 |
| itr= 33 neu= | -0.0055 | mom | 1.7640 | te= | -2718.3409707 | err= | -2.315 |
| itr= 34 neu= | -0.0017 | mome | 1.7638 | te= | -2718.3409703 | err= | -2.499 |
| tr= 35 neu= | 0.0009 | moment= | 1.7637 | te= | -2718.3409703 | err= | -2.727 |
| itr= 36 neu= | 0.0024 | moment= | 1.7635 | te | -2718.3409704 | err | -2.832 |
| itr= 37 neu= | 0.0029 | moment | 1.7633 | t | -2718.3409703 | err= | 844 |
| itr= 38 neu= | 0.0026 | moment= | 1.7632 | te | -2718.3409701 | err | -2.749 |
| itr= 39 neu= | 0.0019 | moment= | 1.7631 | te= | -2718.3409699 | err | -2.813 |
| itr= 40 neu= | 0.0010 | moment | 1.7631 | te= | -2718.3409698 | err | -2.999 |
| itr= 41 neu= | 0.0002 | omen | 1.763 |  | -2718.3409698 | err= | -3.004 |
| interval= 41 | cpu | time= | 17.79 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { sdftyp=mjw } \\ \text { FeNi } \end{gathered}$ | relty |  | $\mathrm{dmpc}=0$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ```rms err= -3.164 -3.274 -3.004 -3.177 ef= 0.7260630 0.7343370 def= 2.6962356 12.2016065 total energy= -2718.3409698``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
    *** type-FeNi Fe (z= 26.0) ***
core charge in the muffin-tin sphere =17.9787766
valence charge in the cell (spin up ) = 0.19826(s) 0.19326(p) 4.36075(d)
valence charge in the cell (spin down) = 0.19758(s) 0.20985(p) 1.84235(d)
total charge= 24.98082 valence charge (up/down)= 4.75227 2.24978
spin moment= 2.50249 orbital moment= 0.00000
core level (spin up )
    -507.1266873 Ry(1s)
        -5.5302084 Ry(3s)
core level (spin down)
    -507.1176964 Ry(1s)
        -5.3171341 Ry(3s)
        -57.8685202 Ry(2s) -49.8296484 Ry(2p)
    -3.1878588 Ry(3p)
        -57.7567489 Ry(2s) -49.7425563 Ry(2p)
        -2.9808461 Ry(3p)
hyperfine field of FeNi
    -239.803 KG (core= -250.980 KG valence= 11.177 KG )
core contribution
    -21.109 KG(1s) -548.149 KG(2s) 318.277 KG(3s)
charge density at the nucleus
        11820.1880 (core= 11814.5387 valence= 5.6493 )
core contribution
        10701.4478(1s) 972.7303(2s) 140.3607(3s)
&** type-FeNi Ni (z=28.0) ***
valence charge in the cell (spin down) = 0.22638(s) 0.23660(p) 3.74662(d)
total charge= 27.12086 valence charge (up/down)= 4.91978 4.20959
spin moment= 0.71019 orbital moment= 0.00000
core level (spin up )
    -594.3771108 Ry(1s) -69.2884479 Ry(2s) -60.3956628 Ry(2p)
        -6.5907736 Ry(3s)
core level (spin down)
    -594.3686783 Ry(1s) -69.2422357 Ry(2s) -60.3587004 Ry(2p)
        -6.5162732 Ry(3s) -3.8088998 Ry(3p)
hyperfine field of FeNi
    -166.611 KG (core= -77.215 KG valence= -89.396 KG )
core contribution
    -9.105 KG(1s) -204.777 KG(2s) 136.667 KG(3s)
charge density at the nucleus
        14827.9567 (core= 14822.0421 valence= 5.9145 )
core contribution
        13396.9377(1s) 1243.1999(2s) 181.9046(3s)
```

cpu used $\quad 17.94 \mathrm{sec}$

First, a date, 'meshr', 'mse', 'ng' and 'mxl' are output. The next block shows meshes on an energy contour and the contents of the input file. If muffin-tin radii are modified, the new radii are shown. The next information shows how many lattice points (nrpt), reciprocal lattice points (ngpt) and k-points (nk) are needed. When 'record=init', the LDA calculation on each atom is performed and an initial potential is generated. Then, configurations of core states are listed. The core configurations are set up in subroutine 'corcnf.f'. It is noticed that the core states must not come into the energy contour to avoid double counting of the core electrons.

After these output of initial conditions, the record of the self-consistent iteration is output. For each iteration loop, charge neutrality, spin moment, total energy and error (the average difference between input and output potentials) are shown to confirm a convergence. If the error becomes under a tolerance which is set in the subroutine 'specx.f', the iteration loop stops, and a result is printed out. If the number of loops exceeds 'maxitr', the iteration loop also stops. Lastly, from the obtained electronic structure, the hyperfine field, and so on, are calculated.

Table 2: Parameters used in 'specx.f'.

| Parameter | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| natmmx | maximum number of atoms in a unit cell. |
| ncnpmx | maximum number of types of atoms in a unit cell. |
| msizemx | maximum size of the KKR matrix. $\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\text {natm }}\left(l_{\text {max }, i}+1\right)^{2}$ |
| mxlmx | $l \geq$ mxlmx are truncated. |
| nk1mx, nk3mx | nk1mx + nk3mx is maximum number of k-points in the Brillouin zone. |
| $m s e x$ | mesh points on the energy contour for go=dos, spc. |
| ngmx | The chebyshev expansion is performed up to ngmx-th order. |
| nrpmx | maximum number of lattice points used in the Ewalt's sum. |
| ngpmx | maximum number of reciprocal lattice points used in the Ewalt's sum. |
| $m s r$ | radial mesh points. |
| $m s e 0$ | (data) mesh points on the energy contour for go=go. |
| tol | (data) tolerance of convergence |

### 3.3 Parameters which define the size of arrays

By the way, size of an array used in the KKR program depends on a system to be calculated. It is necessary to reserve enough area. The size of the arrays in the KKR program is declared in the subroutine 'specx.f'. The parameters used in the 'specx.f' are summarized in table 2.

If unsuitable parameters are used in the input file or specx.f, the program will stop with an error message. Then, you can recognize what is wrong.

## 4 Exercises

1. Calculate the electronic structure of bcc Fe. Use the lattice constant $a=5.27$ bohr and take magnetism into account. Does the obtained result show that Fe is ferromagnetic ? How large is the magnetic moment ?
2. Calculate the density of states of bcc Fe.
3. Estimate the lattice constant of Fe. First, calculate the electronic structure for various lattice constants. Then compare the total energy. The lattice constant which gives the lowest energy is realizable.
4. Calculate the electronic structure of fcc Ni. Use the lattice constant $a=6.60$ bohr. Check the magnetic moment, density of states, lattice constant and so on.
5. (Impurity problem) Calculate bcc Fe with a single impurity which substitute a Fe atom. Plot the calculated hyperfine field as a function of the impurity's atomic number. Discuss the behavior of the hyperfine field.
6. (Random alloy) Calculate fcc Ni-Fe random alloy. Use the lattice constant of Ni. Discuss the density of states. What happens when the concentration of Fe is high ?
7. (Random alloy) Calculate various kinds of 3d transition metal alloys (Change combination of elements, concentration and structure). Plot the obtained magnetic moments as a function of the averaged atomic number. Thus obtained curve is called Slater-Pauling curve.
8. (Local moment disorder) Calculate the electronic structure of bcc Fe in the local moment disorder (LMD) state. We can treat the LMD state as a random alloy of Fe with up and down spin moments. Prepare the potential data file using /util/fmg.f
9. (Local moment disorder) In the mean-field theory, the Curie temperature $T_{\mathrm{C}}$ is evaluated as follows:

$$
k_{\mathrm{B}} T_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{2}{3}\left(E_{\mathrm{LMD}}-E_{\mathrm{F}}\right),
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{B}}$ is Boltsmann constant, $E_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{LMD}}$ are total energy in the ferromagnetic and LMD states, respectively. Calculate Curie temperature from the obtained total energy. Use the values, $k_{\mathrm{B}}=6.3336 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{Ry} / \mathrm{K}$, if you need. Compare the results with the experimental value, $T_{\mathrm{C}}(\exp )=.1043 \mathrm{~K}$.
10. (Local moment disorder) Calculate the electronic structure of fcc Ni in the LMD state. Does the calculation works? If not, discuss the reason.
11. Calculate the electronic structure of zinc-blende GaAs. The zinc-blende structure is constructed by the fcc structure. How is the input file ?
12. Put some defects in zinc-blende GaAs. Discuss the results.
13. Put some donors or accepters in zinc-blende GaAs. Discuss the results.
14. Put some magnetic impurities in zinc-blende GaAs. Discuss the results.


Figure 2: Zinc-blende, half-Heusler and full-Heusler structures.

## More in Details

## 5 Density Functional Theory

### 5.1 Hohenberg-Kohn Theorem

The Hohenberg-Kohn theorem on which the density functional theory is established is explained according to the original paper.

An $N$-electron system under an external potential $v(r)$ is described with the following Hamiltonian $H$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=T+V+U \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the kinematic energy, $V$ is the potential energy and $U$ is the Coulomb energy between electrons, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =\sum_{i}-\nabla_{i}^{2}  \tag{2}\\
V & =\sum_{i} v\left(r_{i}\right)  \tag{3}\\
U & =\sum_{i<j} \frac{2}{\left|r_{i}-r_{j}\right|}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the atomic unit ( $\hbar^{2}=1, e^{2}=2, m_{e}=1 / 2$ ) is used. The $N$-electron wave function $\Phi$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \Phi=E \Phi . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the framework of the quantum theory, the ground state of the system, $\Psi$, under the given external potential $v$ is obtained by the variational principle

$$
\begin{align*}
H \Psi & =E_{0} \Psi  \tag{6}\\
E_{0}[\Psi] & =\operatorname{Min}\langle\Psi| H|\Psi\rangle  \tag{7}\\
\langle\Psi \mid \Psi\rangle & =N . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Once the $N$-electron wave function $\Psi$ is obtained, the density distribution $\rho$ and any expectation values are obtained from $\Psi$. That is $\Psi, \rho$ and expectation energy $E_{0}$ are the functionals of $v$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi & =\Psi[v]  \tag{9}\\
\rho & =\rho[v]  \tag{10}\\
E_{0} & =E_{0}[v] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

In this procedure, we must deal with $\Psi$ which has $3 N$ degrees of freedom and must take the variation of the total energy functional with respect to $\Psi$.

If we can regard the density distribution $\rho$ as a basic function in spite of $\Psi$, it will make the problem easier because $\rho$ has only 3 degrees of freedom. It is shown that $v$ is a functional of $\rho$ by

Table 3: atomic unit

|  | unit |
| :--- | :--- |
| length | $\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m_{e} e^{2}}=1(\mathrm{bohr})=0.529(\AA)$ |
| energy | $\frac{m_{e} e^{4}}{2 \hbar^{2}}=1(\mathrm{Ry})=13.6(\mathrm{eV})$ |

the reductio ad absurdum. If the same $\rho$ gives two different $v$ and $v^{\prime}$, we get the different wave functions $\Psi, \Psi^{\prime}$ and the ground state energy $E, E^{\prime}$ according to the two different Hamiltonians $H, H^{\prime}$ associated with $v, v^{\prime}$ respectively. This leads the following two inequalities.

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime} & =\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}\right| H^{\prime}\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& <\langle\Psi| H^{\prime}|\Psi\rangle \\
& =\langle\Psi| H-v+v^{\prime}|\Psi\rangle \\
& =E+\int\left(v^{\prime}-v\right) \rho d v  \tag{12}\\
E & =\langle\Psi| H|\Psi\rangle \\
& <\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}\right| H\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}\right| H^{\prime}-v^{\prime}+v\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =E^{\prime}+\int\left(v-v^{\prime}\right) \rho d v \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum of these two inequalities gives the inconsistent result $E+E^{\prime}<E^{\prime}+E$. Therefore, $v$ is a unique functional of $\rho$. In turn, the $N$-electron ground state wave function $\Psi$ and all ground state properties are functionals of $\rho$.

$$
\begin{align*}
v & =v[\rho]  \tag{14}\\
\Psi & =\Psi[\rho]  \tag{15}\\
\langle A\rangle & =\langle\Psi[\rho]| \hat{A}|\Psi[\rho]\rangle \\
& =A[\rho] \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, the variational principle for $E_{v}[\rho]$ is derived. When $\Psi$ and $\Psi^{\prime}$ are the ground states for given $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ respectively, the following inequality is satisfied because of the variational principle of $E_{v}[\Psi]$ for $\Psi$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{v}[\Psi] \leq E_{v}\left[\Psi^{\prime}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we introduce a new energy functional $E_{v}$ defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{v}[\rho] & =\int \rho v d v+F[\rho]  \tag{18}\\
F[\rho] & =T[\rho]+U[\rho] \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The variational principle for $E_{v}[\rho]$ for $\rho$ is derived as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{v}[\rho] \leq E_{v}\left[\rho^{\prime}\right] . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the universality of the functional $F[\rho]$, once we know it, the ground state properties of any system can be determined.

### 5.2 Kohn-Sham Equations

Kohn and Sham developed an exact way to treat an inhomogeneous electron system from the theory of Hohenberg and Kohn. It has already shown that the total energy $E_{v}$ of ground state for a given external potential $v$ is written as,

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{v} & =E_{v}[\rho] \\
& =\int v \rho d v+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\rho(r) \rho\left(r^{\prime}\right)}{\left|r-r^{\prime}\right|} d r d r^{\prime}+G[\rho] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G[\rho]$ was the universal functional of the density. $G$ may be wrote as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G[\rho]=T_{s}[\rho]+E_{\mathrm{XC}}[\rho], \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{s}$ is the kinetic energy of a non-interacting system of electrons. All of the many body effects are included into $E_{X C}$. To make a discussion clear, an effective potential $V_{\text {eff }}$ is introduced, and $E_{v}$ is divided into two terms such as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{v}[\rho] & =E_{\mathrm{B}}[\rho]+E_{\mathrm{D}}[\rho]  \tag{23}\\
E_{\mathrm{B}}[\rho] & =T_{s}+\int V_{\mathrm{eff}} \rho d v,  \tag{24}\\
E_{\mathrm{D}}[\rho] & =-\int V_{\mathrm{eff}} \rho d v+\int v \rho d v+E_{\mathrm{H}}+E_{\mathrm{XC}} . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the variational equation given by Hohenberg and Kohn, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta E_{v}}{\delta \rho}=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

is divided into a couple of the simultaneous equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta E_{B}}{\delta \rho}=0, \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\delta E_{D}}{\delta \rho}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following variational equation called Kohn-Sham equations are derived.

$$
\begin{align*}
-\nabla_{i}^{2} \psi_{i}(r)+V_{e f f}(r) \psi_{i}(r) & =\epsilon_{i} \psi_{i}(r)  \tag{28}\\
V_{e f f}(r) & =v(r)+\int \frac{\rho\left(r^{\prime}\right)}{\left|r-r^{\prime}\right|} d v^{\prime}+V_{X C}(r)  \tag{29}\\
\rho(r) & =\sum_{i}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{2}  \tag{30}\\
V_{X C}(r) & =\frac{\delta \tilde{E}_{X C}[\rho]}{\delta \rho} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.3 Local density approximation

For a homogeneous electron gas, the exchange-correlation energy is accurately calculated.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}_{X C}[\rho] / N=\varepsilon_{X C}(\rho) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the local density approximation (LDA), we regard an inhomogeneous electron system as a system locally homogeneous, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}_{X C}[\rho]=\int \rho(r) \varepsilon_{X C}(\rho(r)) d v \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the exchange-correlation potential is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{X C}(r)=\varepsilon_{X C}(\rho(r))+\rho(r) \frac{d \varepsilon_{X C}(\rho(r))}{\rho(r)} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.4 Iteration

The self consistent Kohn-Sham equations are solved by an iteration procedure.

- Give a trial $V_{\text {eff }}$.
- Solve the single-electron Schrödinger equation.
- Calculate $\rho(r)$ by summing $\sum_{i}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{2}$ up to the Fermi level.
- Solve the Poisson equation for $\rho(r)$ and construct the Hartree potential.
- Calculate $V_{\text {eff }}$ using LDA.
- Compare the new and old $V_{\text {eff }}$ and improve $V_{\text {eff }}$.

Repeat this procedure until the new and old potential become the same.

## 6 KKR-Green's function method

### 6.1 Single-Site problem

Let us consider the scattering due to a single potential at the origin. This potential vanishes at a region far from the origin.

### 6.1.1 Schrödinger equation

The Schrödinger equation which describes stationary states is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \psi(\boldsymbol{r})=\left[-\nabla^{2}+V(\boldsymbol{r})\right] \psi=E \psi \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the potential is spherical, the solutions are separable using the spherical coordinates:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi(\boldsymbol{r})=R_{l}(r) Y_{l m}(\theta, \phi)  \tag{36}\\
{\left[-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r} r^{2} \frac{d}{d r}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}}+V(r)-E\right] R_{l}=0}  \tag{37}\\
{\left[\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}}\right] Y_{l m}(\theta, \phi)=0} \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution of the angular part is spherical harmonics. Using $R_{l}(r)=u_{l}(r) / r$, the radial equation is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}}+V(r)-E\right] u_{l}(r)=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radial wave function is normalized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{M T}}\left[r R_{l}(r ; E)\right]^{2} d r=1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.1.2 Asymptotic form

The exact radial wave function outside the potential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{l}(r ; E)=A_{l}(E) j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)+B_{l}(E) n_{l}(\sqrt{E} r) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$j_{l}$ and $n_{l}$ are the spherical Bessel and Neumann functions, which are regular and irregular at the origin, respectively. Outside the potential, whole wave function satisfying any particular bounding conditions has a form $\psi(\boldsymbol{r})=\sum_{L} C_{L}(E) P_{l}(r ; E) Y_{L}(\theta, \phi)$, where $L=(l, m)$. As the boundary condition, the wave function which represents scattering should have the following asymptotic form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\boldsymbol{r}) \rightarrow e^{i \sqrt{E} z}+\frac{f(\theta)}{r} e^{i \sqrt{E} r} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $A_{l}(E)$ and $B_{l}(E)$ can be expressed with a parameter $\eta_{l}(E)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{l}(r ; E) & =e^{i \eta_{l}}\left[\cos \eta_{l} j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)+\sin \eta_{l} n_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)\right] \\
& \rightarrow e^{i \eta_{l}} \sin \left(\sqrt{E} r-\frac{l \pi}{2}+\eta_{l}\right) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

$\eta_{l}$ is the phase shift of the wave function doe to the scattering of the single potential. Using the Hankel function of the first kind,

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{l}^{(1)} & =j_{l}+i n_{l},  \tag{44}\\
P_{l}(r ; E) & =j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)+i e^{\eta_{l}} \sin \eta_{l} h_{l}^{(1)}(\sqrt{E} r) \\
& =j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)-i \sqrt{E} t_{l}(E) h_{l}^{(1)}(\sqrt{E} r) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

As a results, the scattering problem becomes the problem to obtain the $t$-matrix defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{l}(E)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} e^{i \eta_{l}} \sin \eta_{l} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.1.3 Wronskian

Let us consider to construct the solution of the Schrödinger equation outside the potential range from the Wronskian relation. From the radial Schrödinger equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[-\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}}+V(r)-E\right] r P_{l}(r ; E) } & =0  \tag{47}\\
{\left[-\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}}-E\right] r\binom{j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)}{n_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)} } & =0 \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

the following integrals are evaluated.

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{r} r j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r) V(r) r P_{l}(r ; E) d r & =W\left[r j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r), r P_{l}(r, E)\right]_{r}  \tag{49}\\
\int_{r}^{\infty} r n_{l}(\sqrt{E} r) V(r) r P_{l}(r ; E) d r & =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} e^{i \eta_{l}} \cos \eta_{l}-W\left[r n_{l}(\sqrt{E} r), r P_{l}(r, E)\right]_{r} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in Eq. (50) is obtained from the asymptotic form of $P_{l}(r ; E)$. Wronskian is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W[a(r), b(r)]=a(r) \frac{d b(r)}{d r}-\frac{d a(r)}{d r} b(r) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multipling Eq. (49) by $n_{l}(\sqrt{E})$ and $j_{l}(\sqrt{E})$ to Eq. (50) by $n_{l}(\sqrt{E})$, and summing these equations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{l}(r ; E) & =e^{i \eta_{l}} \cos \eta_{l} j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)-\sqrt{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} j_{l}\left(\sqrt{E} r_{<}\right) n_{l}\left(\sqrt{E} r_{>}\right) V\left(r^{\prime}\right) P_{l}\left(r^{\prime} ; E\right) r^{\prime 2} d r^{\prime} \\
& \rightarrow e^{i \eta_{l}} \cos \eta_{l} j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)-\sqrt{E} n_{l}(\sqrt{E} r) \int_{0}^{\infty} j_{l}\left(\sqrt{E} r^{\prime}\right) V\left(r^{\prime}\right) P_{l}\left(r^{\prime} ; E\right) r^{\prime 2} d r^{\prime} \\
& =e^{i \eta_{l}}\left[\cos \eta_{l} j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)-\sin \eta_{l} n_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)\right] \\
& =C_{l}(E) j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)-S_{l}(E) n_{l}(\sqrt{E} r) \\
& =\left[C_{l}(E)-i S_{l}(E)\right] j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)+i S_{l}(E) h_{l}^{(1)}(\sqrt{E} r), \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{l}(E) & =e^{i \eta_{l}} \cos \eta_{l} \\
& =\sqrt{E} W\left[r P_{l}(r ; E), r n_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)\right]_{r>R_{M T}}  \tag{53}\\
S_{l}(E) & =e^{i \eta_{l}} \sin \eta_{l} \\
& =-\sqrt{E} W\left[r P_{l}(r ; E), r j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r)\right]_{r>R_{M T}} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

As a results, $t$-matrix is calculated from Wronskian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{l}(E)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \frac{S_{l}(E)}{C_{l}(E)-i S_{l}(E)} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.1.4 Green's function in the free space

Green's function in the free space is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla^{2}+E\right] g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is obtained using the Fourier transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) & =g\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-\frac{e^{\left(i \sqrt{E}\left|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right|\right)}}{4 \pi\left|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right|} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, it is expanded into the partial waves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=-i \sqrt{E} \sum_{L} j_{l}\left(\sqrt{E} r_{<}\right) Y_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{<}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{E} r_{>}\right) Y_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{>}\right) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider an inhomogeneous differential equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla^{2}+E\right] \phi_{L}(\boldsymbol{r})=V(r) \phi_{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its general solution is expressed as a sum of its particular solution and the general solution to the homogeneous differential equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla^{2}+E\right] \phi_{L}^{0}(\boldsymbol{r})=0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since a particular solution can be obtained from Green's function, the general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{L}(\boldsymbol{r})=Z_{L}(E) \phi_{L}^{0}(\boldsymbol{r})+\int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) V\left(r^{\prime}\right) \phi_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) d \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{L}(E)$ is a normalization constant.

### 6.2 Traditional KKR

### 6.2.1 Muffin-tin potential

Usually, the KKR method is formulated using the muffin-tin potential approximation. Under this approximation, the Schrödinger equation can be solved exactly.

The potentials are approximated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(\boldsymbol{r})=\binom{v(r) \text { for } r<R_{M T}}{0 \text { for } r>R_{M T}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the origin is taken at the center of the atomic sphere. $R_{M T}$ is the radius of the sphere which does not overlapped each other.

In the muffin-tin approximation, a constant potential is assumed in the interstitial region. The origin of the energy is chosen such that potential at the interstitial region is zero as shown in Fig. 3.

### 6.2.2 Cell-centered expantion

Let us consider the Schrödinger equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\nabla^{2}+V(r)\right] \psi(\boldsymbol{r})=E \psi(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$




Figure 3: Muffin-tin potential

Using the free space Green's function, $\psi(\boldsymbol{r})$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(\boldsymbol{r}) & =\int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) V\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) d v^{\prime} \\
& =\int g\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}+\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}+\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{n} v\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}+\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}+\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) d v_{n}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{n} \int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}+\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) v\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) d v_{n}^{\prime} \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\psi(r)$ is expanded into the atomic wave functions in the $m$-th sphere $\psi_{L}^{m}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\boldsymbol{r})=\sum_{L} C_{L}^{m} \phi_{L}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\phi_{L}^{m}$ satisfies the Shcrödinger equation in the $m$-th sphere and expressed by using $g$,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[-\nabla^{2}+v(\boldsymbol{r})\right] \phi_{L}^{m}(\boldsymbol{r}) } & =E \phi_{L}^{m}(\boldsymbol{r})  \tag{66}\\
\phi_{L}^{m}(\boldsymbol{r}) & =Z_{L}^{m}(E) \phi_{L}^{0}(\boldsymbol{r})+\int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) v\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{L}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) d v^{\prime} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting these expressions, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{L} C_{L}^{m}\left[Z_{L}^{m}(E) \phi_{L}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)+\int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}\right) v\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{L}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}\right) d v_{m}^{\prime}\right] \\
= & \sum_{n} \int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}+\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) v\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{L} C_{L}^{n} \phi_{L}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) d v_{n}^{\prime} . \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.2.3 Structure constant

$g\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}+\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right)$ is expanded into the partial waves as

$$
g\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}+\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right)=-i \sqrt{E} \sum_{L} j_{l}\left(\sqrt{E} r_{m}\right) Y_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{E}\left|\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right|\right) Y_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) \\
= & -i \sqrt{E} \sum_{L} j_{l}\left(\sqrt{E} r_{m}\right) Y_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) \\
& \times 4 \pi \sum_{L^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}} i^{l-l^{\prime}+l^{\prime \prime}} C_{L L^{\prime} L^{\prime \prime}} h_{l^{\prime \prime}}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{E}\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right|\right) \\
& \times Y_{L^{\prime \prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) j_{l^{\prime}}\left(\sqrt{E} r_{n}^{\prime}\right) Y_{L^{\prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \sum_{L L^{\prime}} \phi_{L}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m} \phi_{L^{\prime}}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) \tag{69}
\end{align*} g_{g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n}=-i 4 \pi \sqrt{E} \sum_{L^{\prime \prime}} i^{l-l^{\prime}+l^{\prime \prime}} C_{L L^{\prime} L^{\prime \prime}} h_{l^{\prime \prime}}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{E}\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right|\right) Y_{L^{\prime \prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)}
$$

$g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n}$ is called the structure constant. It depends only on the lattice structure, not on the potential at the lattice point.

### 6.2.4 KKR-matrix

From the partial wave expansion of Green's function, we can obtain the equation that the expansion coefficients of the wave function should satisfy:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{L} C_{L}^{m}\left[Z_{L}^{m}(E) \phi_{L}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)+\right. & \left.\int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}\right) v\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{L}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}\right) d v_{m}^{\prime}\right] \\
= & \sum_{n \neq m} \int \sum_{L L^{\prime}} \phi_{L}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n} \phi_{L^{\prime}}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) v\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{L} C_{L}^{n} \phi_{L}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) d v_{n}^{\prime} \\
& +\int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}\right) v\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{L}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}\right) d v_{m}^{\prime},  \tag{71}\\
\sum_{L} C_{L}^{m} Z_{L}^{m}(E) \phi_{L}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)= & \sum_{L} \phi_{L}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) \sum_{n \neq m} \sum_{L^{\prime}} g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n} \int \phi_{L^{\prime}}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) v\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{L}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^{\prime}\right) d v_{n}^{\prime} C_{L^{\prime}}^{n} \\
= & \sum_{L} \phi_{L}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) \sum_{n \neq m} \sum_{L^{\prime}} g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n} Y_{L^{\prime}}^{n}(E) C_{L^{\prime}}^{n} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

This leads to the secular equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{L^{\prime} n}\left[\delta_{L L^{\prime}} \delta_{m n} Z_{L}^{m}(E)-g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n} Y_{L^{\prime}}^{n}(E)\right] C_{L^{\prime}}^{n}=0 \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $Z_{L}^{m}(E)$ and $Y_{L^{\prime}}^{m}(E)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{L}^{m}(E) & =C_{l}^{m}(E)-i S_{l}^{m}(E),  \tag{74}\\
Y_{L^{\prime}}^{m}(E) & =S_{l}^{m}(E) . \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\delta_{L L^{\prime}} \delta_{m n}-g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n} t_{L^{\prime}}^{n}(E)\right]=0 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be satisfied.

### 6.3 Crystal Green's Function

### 6.3.1 Integral equation

In the previous section, we constructed the wave functions of scattered electrons using the free space Green's function. In this section, the Green's function of the system $G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)$ is constructed.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla^{2}+E-V(r)\right] G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transposing the potential term to r.h.s. as

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\nabla^{2}+E\right] G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) } & =V(r) G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)+\delta\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =f\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

we find that $G$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) & =\int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime \prime}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) d v^{\prime \prime} \\
& =g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)+\int g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) V\left(r^{\prime \prime}\right) G\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime \prime}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) d v^{\prime \prime} \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.3.2 Dyson equation

The free space Green's function is expanded as

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right) & =\delta_{m n} g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{L L^{\prime}} J_{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n} J_{L^{\prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{80}\\
g\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) & =-i \sqrt{E} \sum_{L} J_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{<}\right) H_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{>}\right) \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) & =j_{l}(\sqrt{E} r) Y_{L}(\boldsymbol{r})  \tag{82}\\
H_{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) & =h_{l}^{(1)}(\sqrt{E} r) Y_{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly $G\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right) & =\delta_{m n} G_{s}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{L L^{\prime}} \mathcal{J}_{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) G_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n} \mathcal{J}_{L^{\prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{84}\\
G_{s}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) & =-i \sqrt{E} \sum_{L} \mathcal{J}_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{<}\right) \mathcal{H}_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{>}\right)  \tag{85}\\
\mathcal{J}_{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) & =P_{l}(\sqrt{E} r) Y_{L}(\boldsymbol{r})  \tag{86}\\
\mathcal{H}_{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) & =Q_{l}(\sqrt{E} r) Y_{L}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

The direct insertion of this formula to the integral equation yields the expansion coefficients $G_{L L}^{m n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n}=g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n}+\sum_{L^{\prime \prime} l} g_{L L^{\prime \prime}}^{m l} t_{L^{\prime \prime}}^{l} G_{L^{\prime \prime} L^{\prime}}^{l n} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.3.3 Periodic boundary condition

When the scattering centers are aligned periodically such as in a crystal, $G_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n}$ and $g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n}$ are Fourier transformed as

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n} & =\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{1 s t B Z} G_{L L^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{-i \boldsymbol{k}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}^{-}} \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)} d \boldsymbol{k}  \tag{89}\\
g_{L L^{\prime}}^{m n} & =\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{1 s t B Z} g_{L L^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{-i \boldsymbol{k}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m}^{-}} \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)} d \boldsymbol{k} \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting them into Eq. (88) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{L L^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{k})=g_{L L^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{k})+\sum_{L^{\prime \prime}} g_{L L^{\prime \prime}}(\boldsymbol{k}) t_{L^{\prime \prime}} G_{L^{\prime \prime} L^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finally obtain the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{L L^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{k})=\sum_{L^{\prime \prime}}\left[\delta_{L^{\prime \prime} L}-g_{L^{\prime \prime} L}(\boldsymbol{k}) t_{L}\right] g_{L^{\prime \prime} L^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.3.4 Density of States

The electron density distribution is directly obtained from the Green's function of the system. It is shown from the eigen function expansion of the Green's function.

The eigenfunction $\phi_{n}$ of the Schrödinger equation $H \phi=E \phi$ which associated with the eigenvalue $E_{n}$ and the Green's function of the system satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{H} \phi_{n}=E_{n} \phi_{n}  \tag{93}\\
{[E-\mathcal{H}] G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)} \tag{94}
\end{gather*}
$$

Expanding $G\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)$ into $\phi_{n}$ 's with the expansion coefficients $G_{n}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{n} G_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}), \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

and multiplying it by $(E-H)$ from the left, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(E-\mathcal{H}) G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{n} G_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)(E-\mathcal{H}) \phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\
& =\delta\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying Eq. (96) by $\phi_{m}^{*}(r)$ and the volume integration leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\phi^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) /\left(E-E_{n}\right) . \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{n} \frac{\phi_{n}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r})}{E-E_{n}} \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained. With an identity

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{x+i \epsilon}=\mathrm{P} \cdot \frac{1}{x}-i \pi \delta(x)  \tag{99}\\
G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{n} \frac{\phi_{n}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r})}{E+i \epsilon-E_{n}} \\
=\operatorname{P} \cdot \sum_{n} \frac{\phi_{n}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r})}{E-E_{n}}-i \pi \sum_{n} \delta\left(E-E_{n}\right) \phi_{n}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{100}
\end{gather*}
$$

is obtained. This gives the expression for the electron density distribution $\rho$

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(\boldsymbol{r}, E) & =\sum_{n} \delta\left(E-E_{n}\right) \phi_{n}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}) \phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\
& =-\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} G(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}) . \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, once the Green's function of the crystal is known, we obtain the electron density directly from it.


Figure 4: Contour integration of the Green's Function

### 6.3.5 Contour integration

Now, the density distribution is calculated from the crystal Green's function. To obtain the density distribution of electrons, it is needed to integrate the Green's function with respect to the energy accurately. However, it is rather difficult because of the many-peaked structure of the density of states. To overcome this difficulty the contour integration technique is often employed. First, the Green's function is continuated to the complex energy plane.

$$
\begin{align*}
G(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r} ; z) & =\sum_{n} \frac{\phi_{n}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}) \phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r})}{z-E} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n} \delta\left(E^{\prime}-E_{n}\right) \frac{\phi_{n}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}) \phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r})}{z-E^{\prime}} d E^{\prime} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right) /\left(z-E^{\prime}\right) d E^{\prime} \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $G(z)$ is analytic in the whole complex plane except on the real axis. So we can deform the integration path arbitrary in the complex plane.

$$
\begin{align*}
n(\boldsymbol{r}) & =\sum_{n}\left|\phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r})\right|^{2} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{E_{F}} \rho(\boldsymbol{r}, E) d E \\
& =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{E_{F}} \operatorname{Im} G(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r} ; E) d E \\
& =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{A} \operatorname{Im} G(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r} ; z) d z \\
& =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{C} \operatorname{Im} G(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r} ; z) d z \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

The contour integration can be performed accurately, because the peaked structure of the DOS is smeared out. At $z=E+i \Gamma, \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z})$ is

$$
\operatorname{Im} G(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r} ; z)=\operatorname{Im} G(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r} ; E+i \Gamma)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} G\left(E^{\prime}\right) \frac{\Gamma}{\left(E^{\prime}-E\right)^{2}-\Gamma^{2}} d E^{\prime} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means the delta function on the real axis is broadened into the Lorentzian shape with the half-width of $\Gamma$ (Fig. 4).

### 6.4 Coherent potential approximation (CPA)

Let us consider a random alloy system which consists of $n$ components, $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{~A}_{n}$ and their concentrations are $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}$. Suppose that the atom $\mathrm{A}_{i}$ is at the origin in the effective medium. Green's function which starts from the origin and comes back to the origin is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{L L^{\prime}}^{i}=\sum_{L^{\prime \prime}} \tilde{G}_{L L^{\prime \prime}}\left[1-\left(t_{i}-\tilde{t}\right) \tilde{G}\right]_{L^{\prime \prime} L^{\prime}}^{-1} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\tilde{G}_{L L^{\prime}}$ and $\tilde{t}$ are the Green's function and $t$-matrix (coherent $t$-matrix) of the effective medium, respectively. CPA is an efficient approximation to determine $\tilde{t}$. We use the self-consistent equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} G_{L L^{\prime}}^{i}=\tilde{G}_{L L^{\prime}} \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation means that we determine the Green's function of the medium by taking weighted average of the Green's function where the component atom is placed at the origin in the effective medium (see Fig. (5)).


Figure 5: Idea of CPA.

## $7 \quad$ Subroutines

The KKR package consists of over 100 subroutines. Here, to get a survey of the KKR package, a brief flowchart is given in Fig. 6. A comment on each subroutine is also given in table 4.


Figure 6: The flow chart of the band structure calculation.

Table 4: Subroutines in the KKR package.

| Subroutine | Comment |
| :---: | :---: |
| specx.f | Some parameters and arrays are defined and spmain is called. |
| readin.f | A given input file is read in. |
| spmain.f | Main routine of the KKR. |
| chklat.f | Check muffin tin radii and modify them if they conflict. |
| prmvec.f | The primitive lattice vector and the reciprocal lattice vector are generated. |
| bzmesh.f | k -points in the Brillouin zone are set up. |
| genlpt.f | Lattice points in the real and reciprocal space are generated. |
| madlng.f | The madelung constants are calculated. |
| gtchst.f | The KKR structure constants are calculated. |
| gsdatp.f | Initial potentials are constructed from the atomic calculations. |
| atmicv.f | The atomic calculation is performed. |
| corcnf.f | The configuration of the core states is confirmed. |
| drvmsh.f | The energy contour is defined. |
| phasea.f | The atomic $t$-matrix is calculated. |
| radial.f | The radial Schrödinger equation is solved. |
| fczero.f | Single site Green's function. |
| cstate.f | The radial Schrödinger equation is solved for the core states. |
| spckkr.f | The crystal Green's function is constructed. |
| kkrsed.f | The structure constant are interpolated for each energy point. |
| cinvrx.f | $(1-g t)^{-1}$ is calculated. |
| bzmsmb.f | Integration in k-space. |
| chrdnc.f | The charge density distribution is calculated. |
| neutrl.f | The charge neutrality is calculated and $\epsilon_{f}$ is shifted. |
| potenv.f | The Poisson equation is solved. The exchange-correlation potential is calculated. |
| banden.f | The energy eigenvalues are summed up. |
| totalw.f | The total energy of the system is calculated. |
| erranc.f | The convergence is checked, and new input potential is constructed. |
| dsenum.f | The results are printed out. |
| hypera.f | The hyperfine field is calculated. |
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